

REMARKS

Claims 68 and 73-75 were pending in the present application. Claim 74 has been amended. No new matter has been added. Support for the amendment to claim 74 can be found in the specification, at least at page 16, lines 8-10.

Objections to the Claims

The Examiner has objected to claim 74 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as allegedly being improperly dependent upon claim 68. Applicants have amended claim 74 herein and believe that the amendment render the objection moot.

Provisional Claim Rejections – Obviousness-type Double Patenting

The Examiner has rejected Claims 68 and 73-75 on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 31, 33, and 39-51 of copending Application No. 11/932,052. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw this rejection if it is the only rejection remaining in this application in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 804(I)(B).

CONCLUSION

The application is now believed to be in proper condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is respectfully requested. The Examiner may address any questions raised by this submission to the undersigned at (617) 832-1000. If any fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any deficiencies to Deposit Account No. **Deposit Account No. 06-1448, Reference No. OGA-010.02.**

Dated: May 4, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/Beth E. Arnold/

Beth E. Arnold

Registration No.: 35,430

FOLEY HOAG LLP

155 Seaport Blvd

Boston, Massachusetts 02210

(617) 832-1000

Attorney for Applicants